UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,689	11/18/2003	Jong Won Seok	51876P415	6616
	7590 03/24/200 KOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			WYSZYNSKI, AUBREY H	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2434	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/716,689	SEOK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	AUBREY H. WYSZYNSKI	2434	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto appeal; and/or 	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☒ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Andrew L Nalven/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2434	

Continuation of 13. Other: The Terminal disclaimer filed 3/12/09 was received. The Double Patenting rejection will be withdrawn conditional on approval of the Terminal Disclaimer.

Response to Arguments:

Wasilewski does disclose the metadata, his flags represent the use control metadata (data about data or information). The information on whether the right to copy the event has been purchased (CCI), whether the event is active or analog or digital (BF) and whether its end time has been extended (RI) (see Wasilewski, fig. 17, col. 31, II. 12-30). Additionally, Wasilewski discloses generating a control word in col. 4, lines 40-63. Wasilewski continues to disclose generating access control information in col. 6, line 42.

Further consideration and/or search may be required.

Additionally, the applicant's response has been received after the final rejection has been mailed out. For the arguments/amendments presented to be properly entertained it is recommended that the applicant file a Request for Continued Examination (RCE), per 37 CFR 1.114.